

**Department of Management
EVALUATION OF MQP ORAL PRESENTATION**

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Names of presenters | Major(s) |
| | |
| | |
| Advisor: | |
| Project Title: | |
| Reviewer's name: | |

Directions: Please check the appropriate box. NA=Not Applicable or Don't Know; 1=severely deficient; 3=adequate; 5=outstanding for a senior-level student

| | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Content of the Presentation | | | | | | |
| a. Objectives clearly stated. | | | | | | |
| b. Key ideas clearly explained. | | | | | | |
| c. Well organized. | | | | | | |
| d. Presentation ended with a conclusion. | | | | | | |
| e. Conclusions warranted by data. | | | | | | |
| f. Understood project issues. | | | | | | |
| g. Knowledge of reference material. | | | | | | |
| 2. Technical Competence | | | | | | |
| a. Used technical terminology appropriately. | | | | | | |
| b. Mastery of relevant concepts at the senior level. | | | | | | |
| c. Offered technically sound solutions and recommendations. | | | | | | |
| 3. Presentation Skills | | | | | | |
| a. Professional speaking behaviors audible and well modulated voice, minimal use of notes, and lack of distracting mannerisms). | | | | | | |
| b. Showed enthusiasm. | | | | | | |
| c. Used visual aids. | | | | | | |
| d. Quality visual aids. | | | | | | |
| e. Effective teamwork was evident. | | | | | | |
| f. Answered questions well. | | | | | | |
| g. Overall at the senior level. | | | | | | |
| 4. Appearance | | | | | | |
| a. Appropriately dressed. | | | | | | |
| b. Well groomed. | | | | | | |
| 5. Overall Quality of the Presentation | | | | | | |

Comments: